

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/810,352	03/16/2001	Graeme N. McClure	ABMS-0116/B000300 9203			
23377	7590 09/24/2003					
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			EXAMINER			
1650 MARKE			DOUGHERTY,	ANTHONY T		
PHILADELPF	IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2863			
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ťi		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/810,352		MCCLURE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anthony T.	Dougherty	2863				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the d	cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	NR REPLY IS SET TO	EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC resistors of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuse period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the part	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event nication.  f days, a reply within the statute to the control of the con	t, however, may a reply b bry minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS f ation to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communi  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>09 September</i> 2	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠	•	b) ☐ This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters	, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
Disposit	closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	ce under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election red	quirement.					
	tion Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the			h. the Evenines				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 200							
44	Applicant may not request that any obje							
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed If approved, corrected drawings are required.			pproved by the Examiner.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to		ce action.					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examiner.						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of:  □ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign prionty and		<b>C</b> (a) (a) 5. (.).				
a,		focuments have been	received					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				<b>e</b> .			
*	application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional appl	lication).			
	<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign lang</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li> </ul>							
Attachme			30					
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	ГО-948)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/810,352

Art Unit: 2863

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6, 7, and 6-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,768,079 to Buell.

With regard to claims 1 and 10, Buell discloses monitoring three phases of a power line (see column 3 line 47 through line 67), determining a number of faults in the three phases (see column 5 line 15 through line 34) and opening only the phases on the power line that have faults (see column 5 line 35 through line 47), and if only one fault is determined monitoring the fault to determine if the fault evolves into another phase and if so opening only the at fault phases of the recloser (see column 5 line 48 through line 56 & column 6 line 8 through line 13).

With regard to claims 3 and 11 and applying the rejection of claims 1 and 10 (respectively) above, Buell discloses comparing a line current of each of the three phases to a predetermined current (see column 4 line 64 through line 67 and column 5 line 35 through 39) if this current exceeds the predetermined current then a fault has occurred (see column 5 line 42 through line 46).

With regard to claims 4 and 12 and applying the rejection of claims 1 and 10 (respectively) above, Buell discloses detecting a fault in one of three phases (see column 5 line 15 through line 19), starting a countdown timer associated with each faulted phase (see column 5

Application/Control Number: 09/810,352

Art Unit: 2863

line 19 through line 21), and determining the number of faults still present after the timer has expired (see column 5 line 21 through line 34).

With regard to claims 6 and 13 and applying the rejection of claims 1 and 10 (respectively) above, Buell discloses opening phases on the power line that have the associated fault by opening only one phase if only one fault is determined, the only one phase being associated with the fault, opening only two phases if only two faults are determined, the only two phases being associated with the two faults, and opening all three phases if three faults are determined (see column 9 line 17 through line 32).

With regard to claim 7, Buell discloses a recloser control system for a power line with a recloser with three poles each pole associated with one of the three phases (see column 3 line 47 through line 67), the recloser capable of opening or closing the associated phase of the power line, (see column 5 line 35 through line 47), comparing a line current of each of the three phases to a predetermined current (see column 4 line 64 through line 67 and column 5 line 35 through 39) if this current exceeds the predetermined current then a fault has occurred (see column 5 line 42 through line 46), and opening phases on the power line that have the associated fault by opening only one phase if only one fault is determined, the only one phase being associated with the fault, opening only two phases if only two faults are determined, the only two phases being associated with the two faults, and opening all three phases if three faults are determined (see column 9 line 17 through line 32), and if only one fault is determined monitoring the fault to determine if the fault evolves into another phase and if so opening only the at fault phases of the recloser (see column 5 line 48 through line 56 & column 6 line 8 through line 13).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,352 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

With regard to claims 8, and applying the rejection of claim 7 above, Buell discloses comparing a line current of each of the three phases to a predetermined current (see column 4 line 64 through line 67 and column 5 line 35 through 39) if this current exceeds the predetermined current then a fault has occurred (see column 5 line 42 through line 46).

With regard to claim 9 and applying the rejection of claim 7 above, Buell discloses detecting a fault in one of three phases (see column 5 line 15 through line 19), starting a countdown timer associated with each faulted phase (see column 5 line 19 through line 21), and determining the number of faults still present after the timer has expired (see column 5 line 21 through line 34).

With regard to claim 14, 15, and 16, and applying the rejections of claims 1, 10, and 14 above (respectively) above, Buell discloses controlling the recloser to do at least one of trip, reclose, or lockout (see column 6 line 1 through line 32), responsive to the fault being detected (see column 5 line 48 through line 56) on one phase independently (see column 9 line 25 through line 32), two phases sequentially (see column 5 line 48 through line 56 & column 6 line 8 through line 13), or three phases simultaneously (see column 10 line 11 through line 31).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

. Application/Control Number: 09/810,352

Art Unit: 2863

4. Claims 2 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,768,079 to Buell in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,558,985 to Krolski et al.

With regard to claims 2 and 5, the primary reference to Buell discloses monitoring three phases of a power line (see column 3 line 47 through line 67), determining a number of faults in the three phases (see column 5 line 15 through line 34) and opening only the phases on the power line that have faults (see column 5 line 35 through line 47), and if only one fault is determined monitoring the fault to determine if the fault evolves into another phase and if so opening only the at fault phases of the recloser (see column 5 line 48 through line 56 & column 6 line 8 through line 13). However, Buell fails to disclose a fault occurs when a protection element on the recloser enters pickup.

The secondary reference to Krolski et al. discloses a fault occurs when a predetermined overcurrent is sensed in one or more of the phases by an overcurrent sensing portion (i.e. a protection element enters pickup – see column 3 line 24 through line 30 and Figure 1 items 6, 6', and 6'').

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have specified the fault occurrence condition of Krolski et al. be utilized to indicate a fault in the present application.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Krolski et al. indicates that a protection element entering pickup (which is understood by the examiner to be the same as an overcurrent condition based on what is commonly known in the art) was a well known and valid means for determining a fault condition, furthermore an overcurrent condition can cause damage to the power distribution system and to end user appliances connected to the power

Application/Control Number: 09/810,352

Art Unit: 2863

system suggesting that an overcurrent condition is contrary to the desired operation of the power system and thus indicative of a fault (see Krolski et al. column 1 line 10; Buell column 1 line 1 through line 2 and column 1 line 18 through line 64), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 9<sup>th</sup> 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Addressing applicants arguments of paragraph 4 and paragraph 5 on page 6 of Amendment A – it is clear that Buell discloses if only one fault is determined monitoring the fault to determine if it evolves into another phase (see Buell column 6 line 8 through line 13) and if so opening only the at fault phases of the recloser (see column 5 line 35 through line 56).

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 4,912,591 to LeCourt because it teaches a recloser control with timers and counters before opening a phase.
- U.S. Patent No. 2,539,416 to Goldsborough et al. because it teaches a recloser for a three phase system that uses current level determination to trip either a single phase if one phase is in fault or all three phases if more than one phase is in fault (see column 6 line 38 through line 51).

Art Unit: 2863

U.S. Patent No. 2,320,861 to Goldsborough et al. because it teaches opening one phase if only one fault is determined and opening all three phases if more than one fault is determined (see page 5, right hand column line 46 through line 72).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony T. Dougherty whose telephone number is (703) 305-4020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

atd

September 22, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800